

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,909	12/28/2000	Ramachandra Pai	BEA9-2000-0016-US1	9610
25253 7	7590 08/12/2003			
IBM CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
IP LAW DEPT 15450 SW KO	T, ED02-905 LL PARKWAY		THAI, TU	JAN V
BEAVERTON, OR 97006-6063			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2186	1-
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	φ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	9
	09/752,909	PAI, RAMACHANDRA	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan V. Thai	2186	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tte, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	June 2003 .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 1	his action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	or election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Fyaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		, ,	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in		FF	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	0	(-) (-)	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		ication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr			
application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Ç	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office FO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paner No. 6	

Serial Number: 09/752,909 -2-

Art Unit: 2186

PART III

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENTS

- 1. This action is responsive to communication filed on June 30, 2003. This amendment has been entered and carefully considered.
- 2. The rejection of claims 1-18 are again presented for examination. The amendment have been considered, however they are moot in view of a new ground of rejection.

Rejections - 35 USC \$ 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Ashcroft et al. (USPN: 6,460,144); hereinafter Ashcroft.

As per claims 1 and 9, Ashcroft teaches the invention as claimed including a method and apparatus for storing data from a network on a node comprising network device (e.g. see figure 1); a data storage device is taught as disk array 13; a first plex

Serial Number: 09/752,909 -3-

Art Unit: 2186

connected to the network is taught as the archive server 14 and a second plex connected to the data storage device is taught as system disks 16, a volume connected to the first plex (archive server 14) and the second plex (system disk 16) is taught as Business Continuance Volume (BCV) disk 17 (e.g. see figure 1, column 2, lines 8 et seq.). Ashcroft, with one exception, does not particularly disclose the amended portions of the current invention wherein the volume which connected to the first and second plexes are grouped in the kernel layer of the operating system. First of all, it should be noted that Ashcroft clearly discloses that many modifications could be made to the system without depart from the scope of the invention, particularly Ashcroft clearly discloses that his invention can be implemented in other operating system which including the amended portion of the claims wherein the data is directly communicated from a kernel layer of a source node to a kernel layer of a target node (e.g. see column 4, lines 30 et seq.). Accordingly it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the current invention was made to modify Ashcroft invention in order to allow all the plexes and the volume being grouped in the kernel layer of the operating system, in doing so, it would allow for data to directly communicate through only one layer, avoid data duplication at multi-layer, therefore enhancing system reliability and system recovery efficiency.

Serial Number: 09/752,909

Art Unit: 2186

As per claims 2-3 and 10; Ashcroft discloses that the first plex (archive server 14) is a feed plex for backing up data from the network and for receiving data from a source node 10 (e.g. see column 2, lines 30 et seq.);

As per claims 4 and 11, Ashcroft teaches the Business

Continuance Volume disk 17 is a feed volume for writing data to
the to the first plex (archive server 14) and the second plex

(system disk 16) (e.g. see column 2, lines 19-59);

As per claim 5, Ashcroft discloses that the recovery process also referred to as the BCV RESTORE command wherein the BCV of the standby node to be linked to the system of the failed server and starting to initiates copying of the data from the BCV to the system disk (e.g. see column 3, lines 54 et seq.);

As per claims 6 and 12, Ashcroft discloses that the second plex (system disk 16) is a feed plex of the server node 10 to manage transfer of data to the BCV disk 17 (e.g. see column 2, lines 39 et seq.);

As per claims 7 and 13, Ashcroft discloses the archive server 14 is a feed plex for receiving data from the server node 10 and for managing restoration of data to the BCV disk 17 (e.g. see column 2, lines 30 et seq.;

As per claims 8 and 14; Ashcroft further discloses that the BCV disk 17 is a feed volume for writing data to the first plex (archive server 14) and the second plex (system disk 16) (e.g.

Serial Number: 09/752,909 -5-

Art Unit: 2186

see column 2, lines 19-59);

As per claim 15; Ashcroft discloses the invention as claimed, detailed above with respect to claims 1 and 9; Ashcroft however does not particularly disclose a computer-readable medium of instructions to be implemented on a computer as being claimed in claim 15. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that computer readable medium (i.e., floppy, cdrom, etc.) carrying computer-executable instructions for implementing a method, because it would facilitate the transporting and installing of the method on other systems, is generally well-known in the art. For example, a copy of the Microsoft Windows operating system can be found on a cd-rom from which Windows can be installed onto other systems, which is a lot easier that running a long cable or hand typing the software onto another system. The examiner takes Official Notice of this teaching. Therefore, it would have been obvious to put Ashcroft's program on a computer readable medium, because it would facilitate the transporting, installing and implementing of Ashcroft's program on other systems;

As per claim 16; Ashcroft discloses that the medium is a recordable storage medium and a modulated carrier signal (e.g. see column 2, lines 15 et seq.);

As per claims 17 and 18, Ashcroft discloses the first plex (archive server 14) is a feed plex for backing up data from the

-6-

Serial Number: 09/752,909

Art Unit: 2186

network and for receiving data from a server node 10 (e.g. see column 2, lines 30 et seq.). Ashcroft further discloses the archive server 14 for managing restoration of data to the BCV disk 17 (e.g. see column 3, lines 46 et seq.).

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed June 30, 2003 have been fully considered but they are deemed to be most in view of a new ground of rejection.
- 6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

7. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Serial Number: 09/752,909 -7-

Art Unit: 2186

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan V. Thai whose telephone number is 703-305-3842.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Matthew M. Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3821.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900. The Official Fax Numbers for TC-2100 are:

After-final (703) 746-7238

Official (703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft (703) 746-7240

Art Unit: 2186

TVT/August 06, 2003

Tuan V. Tha

PRIMARY EXAMINER

-8-

Group 2100